

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,712		11/18/2003	Jeffrey A. Davie	2968.255US02	6299	
23552	7590	08/23/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHA	ANT & C	GOULD PC		HESS, D.	HESS, DANIEL A	
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903		/N 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WILL VI VEZ CI	ODIS, I	35 102 0505		2876		
				DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ar				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
· .	10/716,712	DAVIE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Daniel A Hess	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
• •	V IS SET TO EVOIDE 4 MONT	H(C) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the country of the property of the country o	a timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this com NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	lovember 2003.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· ·		merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r alastian requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	tarmior. Note the attached onk	to Action of Iomir Te	7-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	-	(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		ation No					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau	•	Too in this Hallonal C	ago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei	ved.					
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	iny (PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
I) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Patent Application (PTO-1	152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

This action is in response to the filing of 11/18/2003, which has been placed in the file of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5-8 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In particular, each of these claims is based on a claim which recites the use of 'standardized PCL.'

However, each of these claims also recites writing to a smart card contact, which distinctly requires printer communication that is different from a known standard, as the applicant concedes (page 11 of the instant specification). Thus there is a contradiction in the above claims which needs to be corrected.

. •

Application/Control Number: 10/716,712 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over article "PCL" in Webopedia in view of common desktop laser and inkjet printers, including Muratu (US 6,733,103).

Application/Control Number: 10/716,712

to features that are exclusively on card printers.

Art Unit: 2876

Firstly, it is to be noted that the examiner is reading claims 1 and 12 very broadly, because the reference specifically to a card printer is in the preamble and is not referred back to; therefore, it does not carry patentable weight. The body of claim 1-4 and 12-17 do not limit one

Page 4

As the entry, "PCL" in Webopedia makes clear, PCL was developed by HP and is used by many laser and inkjet printers and is therefore multiplatform and standardized. Every printer has a data writer, namely a print head, and every PCL printer must have some kind of controller to translate the standard PCL output to signals that are relevant for the particular hardware that that printer has. Every printer has an external communication link.

Re claims 4, 15 and 16 specifically: Muratu teaches a color inkjeet printer with multiple heads, i.e. one for each of the primary colors used.

For such a printer employing PCL, it is clearly necessary to coordinate between the different data writers in the manner recited in claims 4, 15 and 16.

Claims 9, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki (US 2002/0001495) in view of Utsunomiya (US 2002/0097414).

Mochizuki teaches (see figure 1; whole spec.) the well-known configuration of a printer on an Ethernet.

While telnet control is not explicitly recited, Utsunomiya teaches [0008] that a printer on a LAN can have telnet access.

The motive for telnet access can be to sent custom low level commands to a printer.

Art Unit: 2876

As for the remainder of the limitations, these are generic to most printers and the applicant is referred to the discussion re claim 1 above.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinefelter et al. (US 2002/0180993).

A card printer (title) is described. See figure 1: A hub, clearly internal and electrically integrated therein, is present. A plurality of different writers 24 are selectable by the hub, as is indicated. Data writers can [0018] be smart card encoders; see also 24D of figure 1.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cummins et al. (US 5,980,011) is worth adding to the record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel A Hess whose telephone number is (571) 272-2392. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,712

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel A Hess Examiner Art Unit 2876

 $^{
m DH}^{\prime}$

DANIEL STCYR PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6